On the Perils of Unexpected Silane Generation

Abstract:

A number of functional group reductions reported in the literature utilize mixtures of triethoxysilane [(EtO₃SiH)] and Lewis acids. It should be noted that this combination can produce the highly pyrophoric and toxic gas, silane (SiH₄).

Over the past number of years, several safety alerts have been published concerning hazards related to the unexpected generation of silane, SiH₄ in chemical reactions. For example, see the reports in Chemical & Engineering News (C&EN).¹ These alerts are related to publications pertaining to the preparation of hydride-capped silicon nanoparticles by the reduction of SiCl₄ with LiAlH₄ in ethereal solvents.² The initial publications apparently failed to mention that this procedure could lead to the release of SiH₄, an extremely dangerous, toxic, pyrophoric, and explosive gas (TLV-TWA 5 ppm, flammable in air between 1 and 96% v/v).³ Whilst this material can be generated and handled safely on a large scale with appropriate safety and engineering controls, its unexpected generation in a standard organic chemistry facility can lead to serious hazards to workers and risks to infrastructure. A 1% silane mix in nitrogen is flammable in air.³ It is of interest to note that that the efficient generation of SiH₄, GeH₄, and SnH₄ from their respective tetrachlorides and LiAlH₄ was reported as long ago as 1947.4 It would be assumed (hoped) that any competent and well-trained synthetic organic chemist would recognize the potential for SiH₄ generation from SiCl₄ and LiAlH₄. However, this highly hazardous material can also be generated by a less apparent, insidious pathway.

These C&EN news reports recall an incident several years ago in which a highly pyrophoric and explosive gas (presumed to be SiH₄) was unexpectedly generated.⁵ The reaction under investigation was the selective reduction of methyl-11-bromoundecanoic ester to 11-bromo-undecanol. This had been done successfully on pilot scale using BH₃—THF. However, for largescale manufacture, a more economical but still selective reductant was sought. A paper was located describing the reduction of esters to alcohols in the presence of other reducible groups, including primary alkyl bromides, with (EtO)₃SiH and Ti(i-PrO)₄ as a catalyst.⁶ This procedure was tried on a millimolar scale. The reaction proceeded without incident, and the product was isolated in very high yield and excellent purity, exactly as described in the literature.⁶ The reaction was then scaled up to multigram scale with quite a different outcome. Shortly after the reagents were mixed, an extremely exothermic reaction began with a highly pyrophoric gas being generated. The incident terminated when the reaction vessel exploded, resulting in the destruction of all the surrounding contents in the fume hood.⁵ Whilst never unambiguously proven, the most likely cause of this incident was the disproportionation of the (EtO)₃SiH to mixtures of (EtO)_XSiH_Y including SiH₄.⁵

Occasionally the combination of (EtO3)SiH and Lewis acid has been reported for the reduction of functional groups other than esters. For example, phosphine oxides to phosphines [$(EtO)_3SiH + Ti(OiPr)_4]^7$ reductive etherification [$(EtO)_3SiH$ + InCl₃/TMSCl],⁸ reduction of ketones $[(EtO)_3SiH + ZnI_2]^9$ and the latest being the reduction of amides to amines $[(EtO)_3SiH + Zn(OAc)_2)]^{10}$ a reaction that could be very attractive as a greener alternative to LiAlH₄ or BH₃ reduction for the synthesis of amines. It should be noted that the largescale synthesis of SiH₄ is via the Lewis acid- or Lewis basecatalysed disproportion of HSiCl₃,^{11,12} and there are reports in the literature of (EtO)₃SiH as a SiH₄ precursor in the presence of various catalysts and in the absence of a reducible substrate.¹³ However, it should *never* be assumed that reaction mixtures containing reducible substrates, (EtO)₃SiH and Lewis acids, will not generate SiH₄, and the use and scale-up of any such process should be undertaken with great caution and with high regard for potential hazards of unexpected generation of SiH₄. Safer alternatives to (EtO)₃SiH that cannot lead to SiH₄ generation should always be considered before the use of (EtO)₃SiH. Some examples are tetramethyldisiloxane¹⁴ and polymethylhydrosiloxane.7,15

Note Added after Proof: A correction to reference 10 has just been published.¹⁶

Andrew S. Wells

Chemical Sciences, Pharmaceutical Development, AstraZeneca, Bakewell Road, Loughborough, Leicestershire, U.K. LE11 5RH. E-mail: Andrew.wells@astrazeneca.com

OP100080V

- (7) Coumbe, T.; Lawrence, N. J.; Muhammad, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 625.
- (8) Yang, M.-S.; Xu, L.-W.; Qiu, H.-Y.; Lai, G.-Q.; Jiang, J.-X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 253.
- (9) Chen, L.-Z.; Peng, J.-J.; Li, J.-Y.; Bai, Y.; Hu, Y.-Q.; Qiu, H.-Y.; Wu, H.; Lai, G.-Q. Lett. Org. Chem. 2008, 5, 1570.
- (10) Das, S.; Addis, D.; Zhou, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, *132*, 1770.
- (11) Tesuya, W.; Hideki, M. Japanese Patent JP 85-31228, 1986.
- (12) Yang, C.; Yang, K.; Li, J. Chinese Patent CN 2008-10136937, 2009.
 (13) Xin, S.; Aitken, C.; Harrod, J. F.; Mu, Y.; Samuel, E. *Can. J. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, 68, 471.
- (14) Petit, C.; Favre-Reguillon, A.; Albela, B.; Bonneviot, L.; Mignani, L; Lemaire, M. Organometallics 2009, 28, 6397.
- (15) Lawrence, N. J.; Drew, M. D.; Bushell, S. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 23, 3381.
- (16) Das, S.; Addis, D.; Zhou, S.; Junge, K.; Beller, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4917.

Huber, D. L. Chem. Eng. News 2008, 86 (March 10), 6. Veinot, J.; Fok, E.; Boates, K.; MacDonald, J. Chem. Eng. News 2005, 83, 4.

Wilcoxon, J. P.; Samara, G. A.; Provencio, P. N. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, 60, 2704. Provencio, P. N. *Phys. Rev. B* 2007, 76, 199903–199911.
 Silane: Praxair Material Safety Data Sheet: P-4649-G, 2009.

⁽⁴⁾ Finholt, A. E.; Bond, A. C., Jr.; Wilzbach, K. E.; Schlesinger, H. I.

J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1947**, 69, 2692.

⁽⁵⁾ Buchwald, S. L. Chem. Eng. News 1993, 71 (13), 2. Berk, S. C.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3221.

⁽⁶⁾ Berk, S. C.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 3751.